

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,346	02/21/2002	Osarnu Baba	020123	6089	
23850	7590 12/30/2003	EXAMINER			
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			VU, QUANG D		
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	ET, NW	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20006		2811		
	• •		DATE MAILED: 12/30/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				• NII				
		Appl	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/0	78,346	BABA ET AL.				
		Exan	niner	Art Unit	,			
<u> </u>			g D Vu	2811				
<i> The M</i> Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this comm	unication appears o	n the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	ddress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v - Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD 3 DATE OF THIS COMMU ne may be available under the provision NTHS from the mailing date of this correply specified above is less than thirty reply is specified above, the maximum within the set or extended period for red by the Office later than three month that adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In mmunication. ( (30) days, a reply within th statutory period will apply ply will, by statute, cause th is after the mailing date of t	no event, however, may a re se statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONT se application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  "HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) t	filed on <u>amendmen</u>	t filed on 10/24/03.					
2a)⊠ This ac		2b) This action						
3)☐ Since t	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims							
4a) Of t 5)	s) 1-10 is/are pending in the he above claim(s) is s) is/are allowed. s) 1-10 is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn fror						
Application Pap	ers							
10)∏ The dra Applicat Replace	ecification is objected to by wing(s) filed on is/ar it may not request that any observent drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected.	re: a) accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of acceptance and accepted of acceptance accepted of accepted	g(s) be held in abeyand equired if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) All b  1. (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	viedgment is made of a cla b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the priori Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copie application from the Interna attached detailed Office aci edgment is made of a claim pecific reference was included.  1.78. The translation of the foreign I edgment is made of a claim was included in the first se	ty documents have ty documents have es of the priority doctional Bureau (PCT tion for a list of the for domestic prior ded in the first sent anguage provisional for domestic prior	been received. been received in Apouments have been in Fulle 17.2(a)). certified copies not received ity under 35 U.S.C. gence of the specificate all application has be ity under 35 U.S.C.	oplication No received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provisional received and Application ren received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Refer	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No	(s).			
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			formal Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 2811

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,170,154 to Swarup in view of US Patent No. 5,631,478 to Okumura.

Swarup (figure 5B) teaches a multilayered wiring structure for high structure for high frequency semiconductor devices, comprising:

a substrate (146);

a ground plate (144) formed above the substrate (146), having a potential fixed at the ground potential;

a plurality of wiring layers, each of which is alternately stacked with an insulating interlayer formed above the substrate (146), the wiring layers combine with the ground plate to form transmission lines; and

at least one separation plate (a patterned layer formed on the ground [152]) being stacked between the wiring layers which mutually cross, with insulating interlayers (150, 154) formed therebetween, the at least one separation plate (a patterned layer formed on the ground [152]) having a potential fixed at the ground potential (152),

Art Unit: 2811

wherein the at least one separation plate (a patterned layer formed on the ground [152]) is selectively provided at a crossing portion ([152], [156] and [24]) where the wiring layers mutually cross.

Swarup differs from the claimed invention by not showing a semiconductor substrate. However, Okumura (figure 5A) teaches a semiconductor substrate (111) (column 5, lines 11-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Okumura into the device taught by Swarup because the semiconductor substrate is a well known in the art.

Regarding claim 2, Swarup differs from the claimed invention by not showing the length and width dimensions of the at least one separation plate are sufficiently smaller than the length of each of the wiring layers used in forming the transmission lines above the semiconductor substrate so as to not significantly interfere with transmission line characteristics of the wiring layers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the length and width dimensions of the at least one separation electrode are sufficiently smaller than the length of each of the wiring layers used in forming the transmission lines above the semiconductor substrate so as to not significantly interfere with transmission line characteristics of the wiring layers because it prevents the loss of the transmission. Furthermore, it has been that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involvers only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/078,346

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 3, Swarup teaches additional crossing portions ([152] and [24], [152] and [28], [152] and [156]) where the wiring layers mutually cross, wherein each of the crossing portions had an individual separation plate (a patterned layer formed on the ground [152]).

Regarding claim 4, Swarup teaches the separation plates (left and right portion of patterned layer formed on the ground [152]) are electrically interconnected.

Regarding claim 5, Swarup teaches the separation plates (left and right portion of patterned layer formed on the ground [152]) have a potential, which is fixed at the ground potential (152) by one of the wiring layers acting as a common electrode (a patterned layer formed on the ground [152]).

Regarding claim 6, Swarup teaches the separation plates (left and right portion of patterned layer formed on the ground [152]) are provided on one of the insulating interlayers (150), and are electrically interconnected by wiring extended (left and right portion of patterned layer are separation electrodes and the middle portion of patterned layer is a wiring connecting between left and right portion of patterned layer) on the insulating interlayer (150).

Regarding claim 8, Swarup teaches a single electrode (a patterned layer formed on the ground [152]) is provided for all of the crossing portions ([152] and [24], [152] and [28] and [152] and [156]).

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2811

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest, either singularly or in combination, at least the limitation "the separation electrodes are provided on different insulating interlayers, and are electrically interconnected by at leas one through hole. The second major difference between the claimed invention and the prior art is a multilayered wiring structure, comprising: the crossing portions are positioned at different levels, and the separation electrodes are provided on those of the insulating interlayers which are provided for all of the crossing portions. The third major difference between the claimed invention and the prior art is a multilayered wiring structure, comprising: the crossing portions are positioned at different levels, and the single separation electrode is provided on one of the insulating interlayers which is provided for all of the crossing portions.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

Page 6

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang D Vu whose telephone number is 703-305-3826. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

December 19, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800